



September 23, 2002

Mr. Paul F. Wieneskie
Law Offices of Cribbs & McFarland
P.O. Box 13060
Arlington, Texas 76094-0060

OR2002-5346

Dear Mr. Wieneskie:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 169029.

The Euless Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for copies of information pertaining to a specified incident. You state that you are releasing some responsive information. You also state that you maintain some responsive tangible information that you claim not to be information that is subject to the Public Information Act (the "Act"). You also claim, however, that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and have reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that this office has ruled that tangible physical items are not the type of information contemplated under the Act. *See, e.g.,* Open Records Decision No. 581 (1990). Thus, we agree that the responsive tangible physical evidence that is maintained by the department is not public information as that term is defined in section 552.002 of the Government Code. Consequently, such evidence is not information that is made public by section 552.021 of the Government Code.

You claim that the submitted information is or may be subject to the Medical Practice Act (the "MPA"), subtitle B of title 3 of the Occupations Code. The MPA provides that "a record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter." Occupations Code § 159.002(b). This office has concluded

that the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). Medical records must be released upon the governmental body's receipt of the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Section 159.002(c) also requires that any subsequent release of medical records be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). Accordingly, we conclude that the entirety of Exhibit B may only be disclosed in accordance with the access provisions of the MPA. *See* Occ. Code § 159.005(a)(5), (b); *see also* Open Records Decision Nos. 598 (1991), 546 (1990) (finding that because hospital treatment is routinely conducted under supervision of physicians, documents relating to diagnosis and treatment during hospital stay would constitute protected MPA records). Absent the applicability of an MPA access provision, we conclude that the department must withhold the entirety of Exhibit B from disclosure pursuant to the MPA. However, we do not find that any information in Exhibit C constitutes records created by either a physician or someone under the supervision of a physician. Accordingly, we conclude that no portion of Exhibit C is subject to the MPA. Consequently, the department must release the entirety of Exhibit C to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report


that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Ronald J. Bounds
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

RJB/seg

Ref: ID# 169029

Enc. Submitted documents

cc: Mr. Gene A. Ray
2832 Southeast French Road
Lawton, Oklahoma 73501
(w/o enclosures)